

The Project

Case Studies in Science Education is a collection of field observations of science teachings and learning in American public schools during the school year 1976-77. The study was undertaken to provide the National Science Foundation with a portrayal of current conditions in K-12 science classrooms to help make the foundation's programs of support for science education consistent with national needs. It was organized by a team of educational researchers at the University of Illinois.

Eleven high schools and their feeder schools were selected to provide a diverse and balanced group of sites: rural and urban; east, west, north and south; racially diverse; economically well-off and impoverished; constructing schools and closing schools; innovative and traditional. They were finally selected so that a researcher with ample relevant field experience could be placed at each. To confirm findings of the ethnographic case studies and to add special information, a national stratified-random-sample of about 4000 teachers, principals, curriculum supervisors, superintendents, parents, and senior class students were surveyed. Survey questions were based on observations at the eleven case-study sites.

The field researchers were instructed to find out what was happening, what was felt important, in science (including mathematics and social science) programs. On site from 4 to 15 weeks they were not required to coordinate their work other observers at other sites. Questions originally indicated important by the NSF or identified early in the field were "networked" by the Illinois team. Efforts to triangulate findings were assisted by reports of site visit teams.

Each observer prepared a case study report which was preserved intact as part of the final collection, and later augmented with cross-site conclusions by the Illinois team. The cost of the study just under \$300,000, taking 18 months actual time and about 6 research-person years to complete.

In the principal findings it was noted that each place was different in important ways, that each teacher unique contributions. Nationally we found that science education was being given low priority, yielding to increasing emphasis on basic skills (reading and computation). Still, the CSSE-high-school science faculties worked hard to protect courses for the college-bound, with many of these course, kept small by prerequisites and "tough" grading. Only occasional efforts were made to do more than "read about" science topics in most of the elementary schools. Although ninth-grade biology and eighth-grade general science flourished, general education aims for science instruction were not felt vital at any level. Seldom was science taught as scientific inquiry--all three subjects were presented as what experts had found to be true. School people and parents were supportive of what was chosen to be taught, complaining occasionally that it was not taught well enough. The textbook usually was seen as the authority on knowledge and the guide to learning. The teacher was seen to be the authority on both social and academic decorum. He or she worked hard to prepare youngsters for tests, subsequent instruction, and the value-orientations of adult life. Though relatively free to depart from district syllabus or community expectation, the teacher seldom exercised either freedom.

Each of the above statements is only partly correct. This summary is a drastic oversimplification of the circumstances observed by the field people and portrayed in the case study reports. The picture at each of the sites--seen through experienced but singular eyes of our observer--is a special picture, greatly influenced, by the administrators, the parents, and the students encountered; colored with technical, professional, economic and social problems. Somehow the pictures do not aggregate across sites to be either the picture of national education represented by the popular press (though no less aggrieved) or that presented in the professional education publication (though no less complicated). It is an interesting collection.

Robert E. Stake
Jack A. Easley, Jr.
Codirectors